Appl. No. 10/716,588 Amdt. dated November 30, 2007 Reply to Office Action of October 30, 2007

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1-20 are pending in this patent application. Applicants provisionally elect Species 1: Claims 1-8, and 11-16 and traverse the Restriction of October 30, 2007.

The Restriction put forth four patentably distinct species:

Species 1: Claims 1-8 and 11-16

Species 2: Claims 9-10

Species 3: Claim 17

Species 4: Claims 19-20.

I. IT WOULD NOT BE A SERIOUS BURDEN FOR THE EXAMINER TO CONTINUE EXAMINING THE APPLICATION ON THE MERITS

MPEP § 803.01 provides that (emphasis added):

If the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the examiner <u>must</u> examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims to independent or distinct inventions.

The Examiner has already searched and examined claims 9-10 (Species 2) and 17 (Species 3) for which he asserted one reference anticipated along with claims 1-8 and 11-16. Claim 9 was amended to remove an article "the" before "contents of the record" in this independent claim. Claim 10 remains unamended. Claim 17 was amended to correct typographical errors and incorporate better phrasing style. These minor amendments do not warrant a change of scope of the subject matter that would warrant a serious burden on the Examiner in search and examination.

Conclusion

For at least these reasons, the restriction requirements should be removed, and it is respectfully requested that all the pending claims be examined.

Respectfully submitted,

Appl. No. 10/716,588 Amdt. dated November 30, 2007 Reply to Office Action of October 30, 2007

Date: Nav. 30, 2007

Eleon Lehmann

Eileen Lehmann Registration No. 39,272 Hewlett-Packard Company

Mail Stop 1197 1501 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, CA 94304 650-857-7940 (telephone) 650-852-8063 (fax)